BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 588 19 81

Bill H 9 S _____ Original bill & history ✓C

H. Committee on Judiciary		S. Committee on Judiciary	
Hearing Date(s) 1-9	✓C	Hearing Date(s) 3-9	✓C
1-16	✓C	_____	___C
_____	___C	_____	___C
_____	___C	_____	___C
Date Out _____	___C		

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ___Yes ___No

Committee _____ Report _____

3RD READING: 3/16, YEAS: 48; NAYS: 0

RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS: 3/16
2ND READING: 4/7, YEAS: 86; NAYS: 0
ON MOTION, 4/7, RULES SUSPENDED AND PLACED ON 3RD READING.
3RD READING: 4/7, YEAS: 95; NAYS: 0

TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR: 4/11
ACTION: SIGNED, 4/15
CHAPTER 380

8--YARDLEY--TO REVISE THE LAWS RELATING TO PAROLE ELIGIBILITY.

FISCAL NOTE: REQUIRED
PREFILED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY: 12/30/80
HEARING: 1/8
REPORT: DO PASS AS AMENDED, 1/16
2ND READING: 1/19, YEAS: 83; NAYS: 12
3RD READING: 1/20, YEAS: 83; NAYS: 13

TRANSMITTED TO SENATE: 1/20
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY: 1/21
HEARING: 3/9
REPORT: 3/25, BE NOT CONCURRED IN
REPORT: ADOPTED, 3/25
BILL KILLED: 3/25, YEAS: 41; NAYS: 6

9--YARDLEY--TO MAKE THE LAW RELATING TO GOOD TIME ALLOWANCE MORE RESTRICTIVE.

FISCAL NOTE: REQUIRED
PREFILED AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY: 12/30/80
HEARING: 1/9
REPORT: DO PASS AS AMENDED, 1/16
2ND READING: 1/19, YEAS: 90; NAYS: 2
3RD READING: 1/20, YEAS: 93; NAYS: 5

TRANSMITTED TO SENATE: 1/20
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY: 1/21
HEARING: 3/9
REPORT: 3/9, BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED
2ND READING: 3/10, BE CONCURRED IN
3RD READING: 3/12, YEAS: 49; NAYS: 1

RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS: 3/12
2ND READING: 3/18 SUBSTITUTE MOTION THAT THE SENATE AMENDMENTS BE NOT CONCURRED IN. YEAS: 88; NAYS: 6
REFERRED TO FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE: 3/18

FREE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT: 3/26
HOUSE
2ND READING: 4/10, YEAS: 79; NAYS: 4
ON MOTION, 4/10, RULES SUSPENDED AND PLACED ON 3RD READING
3RD READING: 4/10, YEAS: 89; NAYS: 4
SENATE
2ND READING: 4/22, YEAS: 48; NAYS: 1
ON MOTION, 4/22, RULES SUSPENDED, PLACED ON 3RD READING
3RD READING: 4/22, YEAS: 45; NAYS: 0

TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR: 4/27
ACTION: 5/1, SIGNED
CHAPTER 588

HOUSE BILL NO. 9

INTRODUCED BY YARDLEY

BY REQUEST OF THE INTERIM COMMITTEE ON CORRECTIONS POLICY AND FACILITY NEEDS

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO MAKE THE LAW RELATING TO GOOD TIME ALLOWANCE MORE RESTRICTIVE; AMENDING SECTION 53-30-105, MCA."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 53-30-105, MCA, is amended to read:

"53-30-105. Good time allowance. (1) The department of institutions shall adopt rules providing for the granting of good time allowance for inmates employed in any prison work or activity. The good time allowance shall operate as a credit on his sentence as imposed by the court, conditioned upon the inmate's good behavior and compliance with the rules made by the department or the warden. The rules adopted by the department may not grant good time allowance to exceed:

(a) 10 days per month for inmates assigned within the confines of the walls of the prison;

(b) 13 days per month for those inmates placed outside the confines of the walls of the prison;

(c) 15 days per month for those inmates who have been assigned outside the walls of the prison for an uninterrupted period of 1 year on a minimum status;

(d) 13 days per month for those inmates enrolled in school inside the walls who successfully complete the course of study or who while so enrolled are released from prison by discharge or parole~~;~~

~~(e)--10-days-for--each--pint--of--blood--donated--by--an inmate~~;

~~(f)--5-days-per-month-for-those-inmates-participating-in self-improvement-activities-designated-by-the-department~~.

(2) In the event of an attempted escape by an inmate or a violation of the rules prescribed by the department or warden, the inmate may be punished by the forfeiture of part or all good time allowances. The warden of the state prison shall advise the department of any attempted escape or violation of rules on the part of the inmate. Any punishment by forfeiture of good time allowance must be approved by the department.

(3) ~~This--section-applies-to-all-persons-who-are--on~~ A person may not earn good time under this section while he is on probation or parole~~-or-eligible-to-be-placed-on-probation or-parole. No-person-convicted-and-sentenced-before-April-1, 1955,-shall-have-his-good-time-allowance-reduced-as-a-result of-this-section~~."

-End-

INTRODUCED BILL

H.B 9

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

47 Legislature

Bill No.	Subject Matter	Date In	Sponsor	Hearing Date	Committee Action	Date Out
4	To eliminate the provision that an offender serving a time sentence may be paroled after serving 17 1/2 years.	1/07/81	Keedy	1/08/81	Incorporated with #8 1/16/81	
5	To provide a plea agreement procedure.	1/07/81	Yardley	1/08/81	Hold 1/16/81 Do Pass as Amended 2/11/81	2/11/81
6	Allowing the state to request an appellate review of the disposition of a criminal case following a verdict or plea of guilty.	1/07/81	Keedy	1/08/81	Do Pass As Amended 1/16/81	1/16/81
8	To revise the laws relating to parole eligibility.	1/07/81	Yardley	1/08/81	Do Pass as Amended 1/16/81	1/16/81
9	To make the law relating to good time allowance more restrictive.	1/07/81	Yardley	1/09/81	Do Pass As Amended 1/16/81	1/16/81
10	An act to generally revise sentencing laws.	1/07/81	Keedy	1/09/81	Do Pass As Amended 1/20/81	1/22/81

facilities do not have much more room for women inmates.

There were no other opponents.

In closing, REP. KEEDY was surprised that district court judges were not here to respond to the bill. He stated MR. KUNIK's son's case would not have happened in Montana because there must be an intent to perform a crime. It was the fault of the jury's verdict not the law itself. House Bill 10 would not find the person guilty of what they did not commit.

REP. KEEDY noted by passing this bill we are not taking innocent people off the street and throwing them in jail. This would only be for people who have committed previous crimes. The punishment should fit the crime that is committed. Legislators have a social contract to the society they represent. The main point of the bill is to get uniformity in the system.

Committee members asked questions following the closing concerning the wording of the bill. A report on sentencing in Montana was given to committee members. See Exhibit 3.

HOUSE BILL 9 REP. YARDLEY, chief sponsor of this bill, said this bill concerned good time provisions for inmates. At least half a month of good time can be accumulated per month. He noted the bill would not allow a prisoner to receive good time provisions if the inmate was out on parole.

CURT CHISHOLM, Department of Institutions, indicated the Department has a great interest in this bill concerning good time. The provision of good time is allowed by law. The prison has been giving good time accordingly to the maximum ceilings allowed by law. But, it is necessary for the warden to have authority over the amount of good time given. Although the inmates must have good time earned and is an incentive to the inmates, the prison officials must have control of the time given so the officials are running the prison and not the prisoners.

There were no other proponents.

There were no opponents.

REP. HANNAH inquired if there was a maximum number of days an inmate can accumulate.

DAN RUSSELL, Department of Institutions, responded that a maximum of 25 days per month. The bill proposed will allow 28 days.

CHISHOLM stated he believes they have the administrative capacity of how good time is given so the inmates can be controlled. Good time essentially is deducted from the time an inmate is up for parole.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:15 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

KERRY KEYSER, CHAIRMAN

Maureen Richardson, Secretary

VISITORS' REGISTER

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

BILL 9 Date 1/9/81

SPONSOR Yardley

NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOS
CURT CHISHOLM	DEPT of CORRECTIONS		✓	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

REP. EUDAILY moved to amend line 20, page 2, inserting "except as provided in subsection (3)".

REP. KEEDY offered a substitute motion on page 3, line 11, following "viewed" insert "only".

REP. EUDAILY inquired if that amendment meant board members could not view the minutes. KEEDY stated that was not the intention of the amendment. The substitute motion passed with CHAIRMAN KEYSER, REP. MATSKO and REP. EUDAILY voting no.

Rep. EUDAILY withdrew his prior motion.

REP. ANDERSON moved House Bill 71 be tabled. The motion passed unanimously.

REP. YARDLEY requested that a draft be prepared with amendments inserted.

HOUSE BILL 8 The subcommittee's recommendations were passed out to committee members (EXHIBIT 1). REP. EUDAILY noted some of the language of House Bill 4 was incorporated into House Bill 8. The subcommittee recommends House Bill 4 be tabled. House Bill 8 was moved by EUDAILY do pass as amended. The vote was unanimous to do pass as amended.

REP. YARDLEY moved the statement of intent be part of the bill, noting the Interim Committee on Corrections Policy and Facility Needs wrote the statement. All were in favor of the statement of intent to be included with the bill.

HOUSE BILL 5 The subcommittee recommended do not pass. Discussion on House Bill 5 was decided to be held at a later executive session.

HOUSE BILL 6 REP. KEEDY explained the proposed amendments of the subcommittee. JIM LEAR told committee members they tried to clean up the language with the proposed amendments but it does not cover all the bases. REP. EUDAILY moved do pass as amended. The motion passed unanimously.

HOUSE BILL 9 REP. EUDAILY moved do pass as amended. REP. IVERSON noted when CURT CHISHOLM spoke to the subcommittee the "walls of the prison" were mentioned. Actually walls do not surround the entire prison facilities. This amendment was based on the description of the prison lands by the Department of Institutions. The motion of do pass as amended passed unanimously.

HOUSE BILLS 12, 20, 99 and 110 have been included in the rework of House Bill 10. It was moved by REP. EUDAILY that House Bills 12, 20, and 99 be tabled. If House Bill 10 did not pass the committee would have the option of reviving House Bills 12, 20 and 99. The motion passed unanimously.

EXHIBIT 1

REPORT OF THE SUB COMMITTEE/JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
HOUSE BILLS 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 20, 99, 110
January 15, 1981

The sub committee met on January 13, 14, and 15 to make recommendations on the sentencing bills. Those in attendance were REP EUDAILLY, CHAIRMAN, REP IVERSON, REP KEEDY, REP MATSKO and JIM LEAR, Legal Counsel. REP HUENNEKENS was excused from the meetings.

HOUSE BILL 4. The sub committee recommended to incorporate House Bill 4 with House Bill 8. See Exhibit 1.

HOUSE BILL 5. The sub committee recommended a Do Not Pass on House Bill 5, after extended discussion.

HOUSE BILL 6. The sub committee recommended a Do Pass on House Bill 6 as amended. See Exhibit 2.

HOUSE BILL 8. The sub committee recommended a Do Pass on House Bill 8 with the inclusion of House Bill 4. See Exhibit 1.

HOUSE BILL 9. The sub committee recommended a Do Pass on House Bill 9 as amended. See Exhibit 3.

HOUSE BILL 10. The sub committee recommended a Do Pass on House Bill 10 as amended. See Exhibit 4. There was no vote taken on this action. The sub committee recommends CHAIRMAN KEYSER place this bill on the House floor as soon as possible. There was no vote taken on this action.

HOUSE BILLS 12, 20, 99, 110. The sub committee recommends these bills be held in committee until further action is taken on House Bill 10. See Exhibit 5 for House Bill 110.

CHAIRMAN EUDAILLY

dl

Exhibit 3
AMENDMENTS TO HB 9 RECOMMENDED BY SENTENCING SUBCOMMITTEE

1. Page 1, line 21 through line 22.
   Following: "assigned" on line 21
   Strike: remainder of line 21 through "prison" on line 22
   Insert: "to maximum, close, and medium I security classifications"

2. Page 1, line 23 through 24.
   Following: "those" on line 23
   Strike: remainder of line 23 through "prison" on line 24
   Insert: "classified as medium II and minimum security classifications"

3. Page 1, line 25 through line 2 on page 2.
   Following: "for" on line 25, page 1
   Strike: remainder of line 25 on page 1 through "status" on line 2 of page 2
   Insert: "inmates after having been assigned as medium II or minimum security for an uninterrupted period of 1 year"

4. Page 2, line 4.
   Following: "school"
   Strike: "inside the walls"

REP KEEDY moved to amend page 1, line 18 to clarify that "imposition" includes "suspension".

REP IVERSON seconded that motion.

The motion was carried unanimously and given to Jim Lear to draft the necessary revisions.

REP KEEDY moved to amend page 4 lines 4 and 5 to rearrange the sentence structure for clarity.

REP IVERSON seconded that motion.

The motion was carried unanimously and was given to Jim Lear for the necessary revisions.

CHAIRMAN EUDAILLY requested Jim Lear to draft the adopted amendments to House Bill 6 for the executive committee to consider.

HOUSE BILL 9. The hearing on House Bill 9 raised the question of the usage of the word "walls" in pertaining to confinement areas of the prison. It was stated there are no "walls" surrounding any of the prison areas, It was decided that other language would be necessary to insert in place of "walls" to show confinement areas.

CURT CHISHOLM, Deputy Director of Department of Institutions, and DAN RUSSELL, Administrator of Division of Corrections, were asked what terminology could be used in place of "walls." They stated, the term, "perimeter security fence" could be used in reference to the maximum security areas. However, there are some areas that are not seciruty areas that need to be defined.

REP IVERSON moved that the committee delay action on House Bill 9 until the correct language to be used is supplied to Jim Lear by Mr. Chisholm and Mr. Russell.

REP KEEDY seconded that motion.

The motion was carried unaimously, and the amendments were given to Jim Lear for revisions.

HOUSE BILL 12. REP KEEDY noted that this bill is covered by House Bill 10, if House Bill 10 is passed. REP KEEDY moved that the committee hold off action on this bill until a later date.

REP IVERSON seconded this motion.

The motion was carried unanimously.

HOUSE BILL 20. REP KEEDY observed that this bill is coverd by House Bill 10, if passed. REP KEEDY moved that the committee delay action on this bill until a later date.

REP IVERSON seconded that motion.

The motion was carried unanimously.

HOUSE BILL 99.REP KEEDY noted that this bill is covered by House Bill 10, if

EXHIBIT 1
HB 9

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 53-30-105, is amended to read:

"53-30-105. Good time allowance. (1) The department of institutions shall adopt rules providing for the granting of good time allowance for inmates employed in any prison work or activity. The good time allowance shall operate as a credit on his sentence as imposed by the court, conditioned upon the inmate's good behavior and compliance with the rules made by the department or the warden. The rules adopted by the department may not grant good time allowance to exceed:

(a) 10 days per month for inmates assigned to maximum, close, and medium I security classifications.

(b) 13 days per month for those inmates classified as medium II and minimum security classifications.

(c) 15 days per month for inmates after having been assigned as medium II or minimum for an uninterrupted period of 1 year.

(d) 13 days per month for those inmates enrolled in school who successfully complete the course of study or who while so enrolled are released from prison by discharge or parole.

FORTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE SESSION

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

HOUSE BILL NO.	ENTERED COMM.	DATE CONSIDERED	OUT OF COMM.	DO PASS DATE	DO NOT PASS DATE	DO PASS AS AMEND. DATE	BE CONCURRED IN DATE	BE CONC. IN AS AMENDED DATE	BE NOT CONCURRED IN DATE
HB 5	2/18/81	3/06/81	3/21/81					3/21/81	
HB 6	1/22/81	3/09/81	3/14/81					3/14/81	
HB 8	1/22/81	3/09/81	3/24/81						3/24/81
HB 9	1/22/81	3/09/81	3/09/81					3/09/81	
HB 10	1/27/81	2/10/81	tabled						
HB 12	3/02/81	3/09/81	3/24/81				3/24/81		
HB 20	3/02/81	3/09/81	3/09/81				3/09/81		
HB 52	1/20/81	2/03/81	2/03/81					2/03/81	
HB 66	1/20/81	2/03/81	3/24/81				3/24/81		
HB 93	1/22/81	3/11/81	3/14/81						3/14/81
HB 96	2/07/81	3/11/81	3/14/81					3/14/81	
HB 99	3/02/81	3/13/81	3/14/81				3/14/81		
HB 110	1/23/81	3/12/81	3/14/81					3/14/81	
HB 138	2/18/81	3/03/81	3/03/81				3/03/81		
HB 138	3/04/81	3/21/81	3/21/81	tabled					
HB 153	1/23/81	3/03/81	3/03/81				3/03/81		

Use a separate sheet for Senate, House Bills and Resolutions

he said, an effort to convince an habitual offender that severe punishment awaits him. He added that this bill would not have a very large effect on prison population.

Curt Chisholm, Deputy Director of the Department of Institutions, said that the "persistent felony offender" designation is not used nearly as often by judges as records would indicate it could be, and supported the bill.

Karen Mikota, representing the League of Women Voters, spoke in opposition because the bill does not make a distinction as to when felonies increase from five to ten years. She said that HB 207 deals with the definition of "convicted", and asked that this committee hold off deciding on this bill until the hearing of HB 207.

Senator S. Brown asked Mr. Chisholm why there had been only eight "persistent felony offender" designations made by the courts. Mike Meloy offered the information that in the six years he has been involved in practice under Judge Bennett not a single county attorney has asked for this designation. Mr. Chisholm said that the allowance for this designation was passed in 1975, and then in 1977 the "dangerous" (as opposed to "non-dangerous") designation was made available -- and this latter designation has proven more popular with county attorneys.

Senator Olson asked why the maximum sentence was fixed at one hundred years, and Rep. Gould said that the language was taken from the persistent felon law.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 20:

TO PROVIDE THAT ADDITIONAL SENTENCES FOR CRIMES COMMITTED WITH A DANGEROUS WEAPON ARE TO RUN CONSECUTIVELY TO THE SENTENCE PROVIDED FOR THE OFFENSE.

Rep. Gould presented the bill as an attempt to spell out the language more clearly than it is in the 1977 law. There were no proponents or opponents.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 9:

TO MAKE THE LAW RELATING TO GOOD TIME ALLOWANCE MORE RESTRICTIVE.

Rep. Yardley, District 74, presented the bill and said that it is not connected with HB 10. He said that he felt some of the "good time" programs designated were biased against some religions. He felt that it was ludicrous to have good time accrue while a prisoner is on probation.

Rep. Gould supported the bill, stressing that good time should not be allowed to build while the prisoner is on parole. He also said that the good time program has gotten out of hand over the years, and that it should be brought back into conformity.

Karen Mikota said that the L.W.V. supports this bill.

Curt Chisholm said that the Department of Institutions supports the bill because it clarifies that persons on parole or probation do not earn good time. He then suggested an amendment on page 2, lines 13 and 14 which would insert the stricken language of subsection (f).

Rep. Yardley stated that he would oppose Chisholm's amendment.

Senator Mazurek asked why there is a distinction between different religions, with membership in some counting toward good time, and not in others.

Mr. Chisholm said that he did not understand this either, and would like to see it changed; but that he strongly felt that the inmates should be allowed good time for their participation in self-help groups.

Senator Mazurek asked Rep. Yardley if he would continue to object to the good time program if it were cleaned up and made more valid; and Yardley conceded that his main objection is to the way it is now administered.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 8:

TO REVISE THE LAWS RELATING TO
PAROLE ELIGIBILITY.

Rep. Yardley, District 74, presented the bill and said that a dangerous criminal serves an average of twenty percent of his sentence. Yardley felt that the public is a little confused as to why such a small portion of a sentence is served, and suggested that there should be more corelation between the length of sentence given, and the time served. He pointed out that the fiscal note accompanying the bill could present a problem in the bill's acceptance, but said that the statement of intent attached to the bill should get around the problem.

Speaking in opposition to the bill, Curt Chisholm said that a new bookkeeping system would have to be developed for all felons accepted in the prison. He added that if the bill's statement of intent is not followed by the judges the bill would have an enormous fiscal impact because of a possible twenty-five percent increase in the prison population.

In closing, Rep. Yardley said that the intent of the bill is

to correct a major problem in the sentencing process, not to increase the time served by convicts so much as to have sentences handed out that are more consistent with the time which will actually be served.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 20:

Senator S. Brown moved that the bill BE CONCURRED IN, and his motion passed unanimously.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 405:

Senator Mazurek moved to amend page 5, line 11, following "when", by inserting "it appears"; and following "applicant" by striking "alleges" and inserting "has suffered or may suffer". His motion passed unanimously. Senator S. Brown then moved that the bill BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED, and his motion passed unanimously.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 9:

Senator S. Brown moved that the bill be amended on page 2, line 13, by inserting the stricken language of subsection (f), and relettering it "(e)". His motion passed unanimously. He then moved that the bill BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED, and his motion also passed unanimously.

FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 403:

Senator Halligan moved that the bill be amended according to Rep. Keedy's proposed amendment on page 1, line 18, following "46-14-201", by inserting "or raises the issue of his fitness to proceed,". His motion passed unanimously. Senator Mazurek moved to amend page 2, line 14, by inserting "or his counsel" following "defendant". The motion passed over Senator Crippen's objection. Senator Crippen felt that the obligation of the prosecutor and the judge to the defendant should include their duty to raise the question of the defendant's mental competence.

Mike Anderson
Chairman, Judiciary Committee

ROLL CALL

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION - - 1981 Date March 9, 1981

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
~~Anderson, Mike, Chr. (R)~~			✓
O'Hara, Jesse A. (R)	✓		
Olson, S. A. (R)	✓		
Brown, Bob (R)	✓		
Crippen, Bruce D. (R)	✓		
Tveit, Larry J. (R)	✓		
Brown, Steve (D)	✓		
Berg, Harry K. (D)	✓		
Mazurek, Joseph P. (D)	✓		
Halligan, Michael (D)	✓		

Each day attach to minutes.

NAME: Curt Chisholm DATE: 3/9
Ed. Asst

ADDRESS: Dept Institutions

PHONE: 3220

REPRESENTING WHOM? Institutions

APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: 2, 9, 12, 30

DO YOU: SUPPORT? 12, 20, AMEND? 9 OPPOSE?

COMMENTS:

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

NAME: R. Budd Gould DATE: 3-9-81

ADDRESS: _____

PHONE: _____

REPRESENTING WHOM? Dist. 98

APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: H.B. 9

DO YOU: SUPPORT? X AMEND? _____ OPPOSE? _____

COMMENTS: _____

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

March 9 19 81

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on JUDICIARY

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 9

YARDLEY (BROWN)

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 9,
third reading copy, be amended as follows:

1. Page 2, lines 13 and 14.
Following: line 12
Insert: "(e) 5 days per month for those inmates participating in self improvement activities designated by the department."

And, as so amended,
~~DO PASS~~
BE CONCURRED IN

Mike Anderson
Chairman.

STATE PUB. CO.
Helena, Mont.